

I've also been having arguments with a guy who owns a financial broker company. He said that the two doctors in the video called [See The Video Youtube Banned Of California Doctors' Exposing COVID-19 Hoax](#) biased and promoters of fake news. Our conversations were somewhat coherent, but he wrote to me with this message:

B Szilárd says:
"you said you make own researches

if you deal with numbers and you dig into corona data, you should have noticed your "specialists" made HUGE distortion

Sweden with running economy has 2854 death
Norway with shut down economy has 215
Finland with shut down economy has 246

it is a small difference, even more it is not a difference....

Bud! OMG, this is NORMAL statistically spread/diffusion? :D

he compared these numbers to the whole popularity and after that rates (0,0000xx vs 0,0000xxx) were compared together, it is a HUGE distortion, this is typical how fake news can be born

that is not accidentally, that figures are published nominally and not a ratio to popularity

we need the nominal numbers, a country has limited number of respiration apparatus etc, a country like Sweden has app 3-5k, now they are under of their capacity, so it seems they decided right, but what worked for them, that is not sure would have worked for Italy and for NY city etc."

Although some of it isn't in a best English form grammatically and understandably, I get the gist of it

My response is this:

Ok, I don't remember ever backing down from an argument I've gotten into in recent years and that's because my information is usually quite solid and because I'm in it for two reasons: to learn and to teach a bit of reasoning power. You're like the student a teacher might have, say in an English class. The instructions are for you to read a book called *Farewell to Arms* by Ernest Hemingway and summarize it, but instead of reading the book, you read a review of a review of it and then summarize that review in the most cursorily and shoddy of all manners.

It's your prerogative to be of such and I certainly do have more than one student of this type. Mr. Thomas Sowell would call your methodology 'arguing without arguments'. You're certainly at liberty to be as such (and I wouldn't consider locking you up for it), just as it is of my liberty to respond the best I can in the most appropriate way I am able to with the time I've allotted myself to argue against such a filigree of fanciful perplexities as you propose.

So I have decided to respond, but how I should put this and make it rhetorically coherent and concisely affirmative regarding your scant and cursory assessment of the facts and to

opportune myself the indulgence of clearing up this matter once and for all, to put the matter to rest, should you listen to and read what I have to say, which you haven't yet been willing to do, which is why we are still having this conversation in the first place. As usual, I will be following a most recommended and effective model of reasoning: statement of facts, examples of facts, and references, and in that order.

What I know about the science in this matter is nearly irrelevant for I am sharing with you an assortment of professionals who will do the speaking for me. It's called fact over fiction. To talk about this predicament in full-canvas form: the scientific and political arenas are not an undaunting task. As what is typical when I argue with any leftist, as is in this case, I provide dialogue with accurate data thorough in thought, and my leftist friend does nothing of the sort. Where do I start, is my biggest dilemma and where do I stop is my second biggest and then there is the third, and that is to convey that message in a way that doesn't heat up this conversation further.

Let's start with your numbers. First, and foremost, I never said that I make research. To make research is the planning and setting of parameters for carrying out trial studies and follow-ups—doctors do that. I do the research by following and collecting the evidence from a layman's perspective who wants the truth.

So, I'm not sure if you expect me to figure out where you got these numbers from or not: from the doctors on the video, from the countries in question, from the WHO? Also, people don't generally die from viruses. "25 years of smoking killed them." "Old age killed them." "Diabetes killed them." But the COVID-19 did not! Perhaps there are exceptions; I don't have the full rule book in front of me.

So, where is the data coming from? I have all of the stuff on the global warming/cooling/change perceived-crisis also. There, one statistician used the numbers from the IPCC (the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) but it doesn't mean he believes them to be accurate, he's just using their own data to show how flawed it is—and they are.

The doctor you're referencing did not make huge distortions, and besides, I must have more than 20 links and have been accumulating testimonials and evidence from doctors who say very similar things, based on science. I have another student who has been doing something very similar to what you do. If I ever write a book on how not to make an argument, I will reference such lopsided leftist thinking in it. In fact, that guy says that the doctor that you're referencing is 'a real doctor'. And that's only because I thrashed his argument and criticism of another well-renowned doctor. He gave up because he didn't want to ruin our friendship. I don't give up because it's important for us Hungarians (I'm a Hungarian too) fix the destruction of this society sooner than later. He is a pharmaceutical historian—or something, and is very proud of his research skills, though his ability to be non-critical of someone who has more information (not just me) leaves much to be desired.

You once said that you believe in the popularly recognized numbers, but not the numbers coming from Hungary. I find that to be an odd statement. Which facts are correct seems to be the question. Well, we can deduce some fundamentals on the matter because fake news is ALWAYS without substantiated and substantial information. The mask ordeal seems to be a fine example for this; to wear or to not to wear the mask is by any definition, not science, it might be a political issue and a marketing issue, but it's not a science issue. The other one is

the advertisements from here to hell; it might be a marketing and political issue, but certainly not an economical one (a.k.a. not a healthy one).

So, using your facts—because I’m not ready to look up all of the facts, but we can do enough to use your logic and to add reason to it.

Sweden with running economy has 2854 death.

Sweden has a population of 10.23 million in 2019. **10,099,265 2020**

That is a .028% percent of the population.

450 295 km²

BOTH SEXES 83.3 years <small>(life expectancy at birth, both sexes combined)</small>
FEMALES 85.0 years <small>(life expectancy at birth, females)</small>
MALES 81.7 years <small>(life expectancy at birth, males)</small>

[Sweden Demographics](#)

Norway with shut down economy has 215

Norway has a population of 5.368 million in 2020.

That is a .004% of the population.

385 203 km²

[Norway Demographics](#)

Finland with shut down economy has 246

Finland has a population of 5.518 million (2019.)

338 440 km²

[Finland Demographics](#)

To do this analysis properly, you have ignored dozens of factors, some of which I will do here. The above information is just analysis – and FINANCE 101 (the basics), AS A MATTER OF FACT!

You must know that people don’t usually die of a virus. They die of several things. When there is a coroner’s report, the report will generally have a list of reasons why a person might die, but they aren’t doing that on this list, why? Well, you must be interested in science, medicine, politics and the New World Order agenda to have a thorough degree of insight on the issue, which I have been trying to present to you. It’s all there. The truth is only an eyesight away.

[Lists of diseases](#) – It’s better to understand some circumstances that cause these diseases.

The following is a list I have been accumulating. If you’re interested in the facts, you’d better hurry up because the list grows often. Start from the bottom where the most current information is usually logged (but not

always). Go ahead and provide the facts that you believe to be true, but if they're true, they'll hold water, and if they aren't I can usually dispel your assumptions rather quickly.

Now, some doctors to follow who don't have strong political agendas as far as I can see (and there are several other references and doctors that refer to other references and doctors inside the references below):

[Plandemic Part 1 \(Dr. Judy Mikovits\)](#)

[The Problem with Intellectuals & Experts-Thomas Sowell](#)

[MIT biologist says fear mongering on coronavirus will go down as biggest fraud to manipulate economies](#)

[Dr. SHIVA LIVE: Time for Truth on CoronaVirus](#)

[Doctor With 4 MIT Degrees EXPOSES the Deep States Plan to Make Everybody Panic Over CV](#)

[Florida governor refuses to shut down beaches amid spread of coronavirus](#)

[BREAKING: New controlled clinical study conducted by doctors in France shows that Hydroxychloroquine cures 100% of coronavirus patients within 6 days of treatment \(covidtrial.io\)](#)

[Health authority declares flu epidemic in Hungary; Source: Xinhua | 2019-01-17 21:27:41 | Editor: xuxin](#)

[DAVID ICKE - THE TRUTH BEHIND THE CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC: COVID-19 LOCKDOWN & THE ECONOMIC CRASH](#)

[Hannity 3/19/20 FULL | Breaking Fox News March 19, 2020](#)

[I N F O D E M I C! COVID19 Panic Ushers In A.I. Technocracy](#)

Paper I wrote for a student: [Survive Isolation of the Corona Virus Outbreak - pdf.file](#)

[Dr.SHIVA Speaks on Pandemics and The Deep State](#)

[Rush Limbaugh Endorses Dr.SHIVA Exposing the Deep State](#)

[Posta Imre 2020 03 12 Hódmezővásárhely Korona-vírus és az új világrend!](#)

[BREAKING: New controlled clinical study conducted by doctors in France shows that a combo of Hydroxychloroquine and Azithromycin \(Z-Pak\) cures 100% of coronavirus patients within 6 days of treatment \(covidtrial.io\)](#)

[Ez a járvány rosszabb, mint a koronavírus!](#)

[How to Survive Isolation with Your Family in the Era of the Corona Virus Outbreak](#)

[Critical Care Chapter](#)

[COVID-19: A Manufactured Virus In A Soros-Owned Lab To Crash The Economy?](#)

[#Coronavirus Update with Dr Kevin Wacasey and Stefan Molyneux - Bring Questions!](#)

[How Should Trump Balance Economy vs COVID-19?](#)

[National Guardsman At NY Testing Center Says Media Has Overblown COVID19 Pandemic "It's the Flu!"](#)

[Dr.SHIVA, GOP Candidate for Senate, Speaks Truth to Power](#)

[Tucker: How long will the lockdowns last?](#)

[Dr.SHIVA LIVE: CITIZEN SCIENCE vs. Scientific Establishment. The Path to Truth Freedom Health.](#)

[Tucker: Experts can't predict when coronavirus pandemic will end](#)

[Dr.SHIVA in an interview with Keto Kamp](#)

[Suicides in England soar to record levels with 1,400 recorded in three months - up a quarter in two years - with 75% of victims male and biggest rise among men in their 50s](#)

[Német orvos: "Miért helyezitek karanténba az embereket? Miért teszitek ki őket felesleges szenvedésnek"? - 2020-04-06](#)

[COVID-19 and the Mark of the Beast - Thursday, April 2, 2020 12:28 am](#)

[EGYÉB KATEGÓRIATöbb kórházból is egész készletnyi maszkot és fertőtlenítőt loptak el - Létrehozva: 2020.04.07. 3:01](#)

[Perspectives on the Pandemic | Dr John Ioannidis of Stanford University | Episode - Mar 26, 2020](#)

[EXCLUSIVE: DR. SHIVA EXPOSES FAUCI, BIRX, GATES, AND THE W.H.O. COVID-19 ENDGAME](#)

[PROOF IT'S A SCAM - Apr 10, 2020](#)

[Lock Bill Gates Up!](#)

[Dr. SHIVA Ayyadurai, MIT PhD Crushes Dr. Fauci Exposes Birx, Clintons, Bill Gates, And The W.H.O](#)

[Puzsér a járvány következményeiről beszél](#)

[Korona vírus pánik irracionális szintje](#)

[WATCH Bill Gates SMILE As he Reveals How Many People His Vaccine Will Kill Worldwide](#)

[Dr. SHIVA Ayyadurai, MIT PhD Crushes Dr. Fauci Exposes Birx, Clintons, Bill Gates, And The W.H.O](#)

[Dr.SHIVA LIVE: Interview with David Wolfe. Food is Medicine. Boosting the Immune System](#)

[Medical Doctor Blows C-Vi-Rus Scamdemic Wide Open - Andrew Kaufman M.D.](#)

[Perspectives on the Pandemic | Dr. David L. Katz | Episode 3](#)

[Perspectives on the Pandemic | Dr. John Ioannidis Update: 4.17.20 | Episode 4](#)

[A veszettek viadala nem kerülhető el - Belpolitika a koronavírus után / Vona Gábor vlogja - 58. rész](#)

[UPDATE: Dr. Shiva Ayyadurai and Stefan Molyneux \(HD\) - Stefan Molyneux](#)

[Dr. Fauci's DARKEST SECRET Surfaces As His Predictive Model Crushes Trump Economy | Dr. Mikovits pHd](#)

[Celebek és közzszereplők járvány idején, a Tóth Gabi-jelenség](#)

[See The Video Youtube Banned Of California Doctors' Exposing COVID-19 Hoax](#)

[COVID19: Globalism's Perfect Storm](#)

[Kicking and Screaming: WSJ's Kim Strassel on the Media vs. Trump](#)

[Why are Google & YouTube so Afraid of Dr. Dan Erickson | Sebastian Gorka Radio](#)

[Dr. Jeff Barky](#)

In summary, as a teacher, for your review, assessment, and ability to provide a presentation and to maintain attention on the matter in any kind of constructive way, I would give you an F or a D. That grade could be listed in categories, for example: logical reasoning, knowledge of the subject, ability to listen and exchange meaningful ideas, insight, references, statistical analysis, and so forth.

**

His response came with this:

Thank you, nice job!

yes, your right I didn't want to watch your whole video, but that was one and half hour long.... at first that exceeds our lesson's limits, second your doctor slided over on a HUGE mistake which basically changes the result of this debut, of course I said STOP

You can call me leftist, but I am fucking not :)

I'm keen on free market, market adjusts everything (demand and supply solve almost everything). Authorities have to hurdle/regulate oligopolies and monopolies only, others will be controlled by markets bid/ask

Yes I have big preconception since you alleged keynesian view is bulhit, without any economic study...

Keynes's views are very simple and clear. If something is very hard to be discredited, that is his theory, that is so clear as $2 + 2 = 4$ (I have 2 degrees,

I studied for 7 years economics) Somebody alleges it is bullshit based on youtube videos... OMG.... please change the topic...

your theory about global warming is very harmful. Only a dumb person says he can't make mistakes. I told you (although you almost never take care of my arguments) the problem is with your views on this topic, if you are not right, that will burn our children's future. In other cases I and dirty leftist are wrong, what will happen????? Maybe, MAYBE some sector will perform worth than in such circumstances when global warming won't be preferred by the decisions of authorities. It means there is not the SAME risk on the two sides!

But I know we won't have the same opinion in every topic, but it is NOT a disaster, because of the world with the same view on everything won't be so colorful as it is

regards

Szilard

**

Szilárd responds with my letter from above:

Thank you, nice job!

yes, your right I didn't want to watch your whole video, but that was one and half hour long.... at first that exceeds our lesson's limits, second your doctor slided over on a HUGE mistake which basically changes the result of this debut, of course I said STOP

You can call me leftist, but I am fucking not :)

I'm keen on free market, market adjusts everything (demand and supply solve almost everything). Authorities have to hurdle/regulate oligopolies and monopolies only, others will be controlled by markets bid/ask

Yes I have big preconception since you alleged keynesian view is bulhit, without any economic study...

Keynes's views are very simple and clear. If something is very hard to be discredited, that is his theory, that is so clear as $2 + 2 = 4$ (I have 2 degrees,

I studied for 7 years economics) Somebody alleges it is bullshit based on youtube videos... OMG.... please change the topic...

your theory about global warming is very harmful. Only a dumb person says he can't make mistakes. I told you (although you almost never take care of my arguments) the problem is with your views on this topic, if you are not right, that will burn our children's future. In other cases I and dirty leftist are wrong, what will happen????? Maybe, MAYBE some sector will perform worth than in such circumstances when global warming won't be preferred by the decisions of authorities. It means there is not the SAME risk on the two sides!

But I know we won't have the same opinion in every topic, but it is NOT a disaster, because of the world with the same view on everything won't be so colorful as it is

regards

Szilard Thank you, nice job!

yes, your right I didn't want to watch your whole video, but that was one and half hour long.... at first that exceeds our lesson's limits, second your doctor slided over on a HUGE mistake which basically changes the result of this debut, of course I said STOP

You can call me leftist, but I am fucking not :)

I'm keen on free market, market adjusts everything (demand and supply solve almost everything). Authorities have to hurdle/regulate oligopolies and monopolies only, others will be controlled by markets bid/ask

Yes I have big preconception since you alleged keynesian view is bulhit, without any economic study...

Keynes's views are very simple and clear. If something is very hard to be discredited, that is his theory, that is so clear as $2 + 2 = 4$ (I have 2 degrees, I studied for 7 years economics) Somebody alleges it is bullshit based on youtube videos... OMG.... please change the topic...

your theory about global warming is very harmful. Only a dumb person says he can't make mistakes. I told you (although you almost never take care of

my arguments) the problem is with your views on this topic, if you are not right, that will burn our children's future. In other cases I and dirty leftist are wrong, what will happen????? Maybe, MAYBE some sector will perform worth than in such circumstances when global warming won't be preferred by the decisions of authorities. It means there is not the SAME risk on the two sides!

But I know we won't have the same opinion in every topic, but it is NOT a disaster, because of the world with the same view on everything won't be so colorful as it is

regards

Szilard

**

The contents of my videos (several of them) are several hours long with several doctors who reference other doctors and other facts simultaneously in concert with one another explaining with great detail and in many forums, with many angles of addressing the issues to come to similar conclusions—all based on science and facts. If you want to argue about the topic, or any topic, for that matter, you should probably learn to understand them first.

About your assessment of “a huge mistake”, there is no mistake. The numbers are insignificantly different, which is true—besides that, you don't know what they're talking about because you—and admittedly so, didn't finish watching his dialogue. Not only that, one country will have a flatter curve for a longer time (aka completely destroy the economy) and the other will have a shorter spike where people will probably be more Ok in the long run.

Not only that, you don't bother to see how things were—deaths were, in the previous years, why they occurred, ect.

The Keynesian system is simply a redistribution of wealth (aka the fundamental assimilation to communism)! That's not my opinion. Oligarchs and monopolies are created by the government. Monopolies that may occur in a free market are rare and seldom long lasting. Oligarchs don't prevent them, but they do siphon money from them and every other resource available to them, as is in Hungary—Hungary and socialist Europe is the biggest example of how oligarchs create monopolies for themselves.

You say that “Yes I have big preconception since you alleged keynesian view is bulhit”, but you don't realize or care to comprehend the fact that I am just forwarding a long list of medical experts that don't seem to be politically motivated like the bullshit leaders running this agenda. That statement is a piss poor excuse for not looking into the matter. If you weren't interested in it, you wouldn't be

talking about it. But the matter does affect everybody and so by that definition you should be interested in it, but as a leftist, you refuse to review some very fundamental assumptions and facts and the obvious spreading of disinformation. The Keynesian system is a losing system for the population. The evidence is clear enough. The debt to GDP ratios in all of our countries is astronomical. The history of the USA soundly shows the evidence and rings the alarm bells for anyone who is interested in, and follows the facts. You may think it's Ok, but even that result is the getting used to the takeover of the economy by the corrupted controllers – and you don't care.

I wrote a paper on the “and you don't care syndrome”: [A koronavírus és király - Problémák és megoldások - pdf file](#).

Again, it's not MY THEORY on global warming, it is a factual assessment on the whole issue and I teach FACT OVER FICTION! The information—lots of information, on the issue is provided on my website. These are not my videos and articles. These are facts provided by astronomers, scientists, economists, and the list of occupations is long enough.

If I am wrong, you become the biggest losers of all, but if I am right, and you respond appropriately, according to the facts, that is, your world and your children's world will be better. Leftists are too lazy to look into the matter.

Again, I have followed the same line of argumentation as I always do: Factual statements, followed up by factual information and evidence, followed up by references—that is certainly my attempt. You have not once provided a shred of evidence refuting my assessments with detailed information—you have done nothing of the sort.

The following video is just one example of what I'm talking about here. I've shown it to you before but you started arguing about it within seconds. You didn't even spend the less than 10 minutes to check it out and argue the points. You just gave sweeping assumptions based on something you can't provide facts for because you don't have the facts. Watch the video and tell me—or better yet, write to me about which parts you disagree with.

[3 Reasons why Keynesian Economics does NOT Work](#)

B Szilárd

one more thing, HOW could somebody say something so confidently as they do about this virus when they know its being for a month or two.....

again OMG! I do not have as BIG characters as I should have :)))))))))

to know something you need time, a lot of time

watch this too:

<https://edition.cnn.com/2020/05/12/health/coronavirus-symptoms-blood-clots-inflammation/index.html>

it gives more concerns too

it could be your guy has right, BUT making a conclusion after 1 or 2 months is a HUGE silliness, it is ONLY a flu, it could be, but now nobody know it 100%

furthermore, as I understood they only checked data on the internet and they didn't take part in emergency service of covid patients, but this doesn't matter, I can only repeat myself, making a conclusion on this virus after 2 months is nonsense

My response:

You haven't watched one of my videos! Not a one! Not for 5 minutes. All of the vids and information I've put up I've watched to the end, or thereabouts. That's how leftists work. They want me to watch their skimpy shit but not watch my mounds of empirical evidence. Let's start there. Empirical evidence: It's a link under my website called "Student's Corner - Useful Links - Critical Thinking:

<https://www.livescience.com/21456-empirical-evidence-a-definition.html>

Well, you're right. "HOW could somebody say something so confidently as > they do about this virus when they know its being for a month or > two.....?"

That question is a two-way dilemma, is it not?

Well, if you watched, listened, and read, you wouldn't be asking such lamb questions that have already been answered several times. In fact, most of the videos answer those questions at the beginning of each one: it's called part of the introduction!.

Science is doing the research and if there are studies that vary much, then those studies need to be compared.

Next Question?

You'd better hurry up and start watching them because the list is growing. Do you realize how many hours I've spent reviewing this topic? It's called research!

My second question to you is how can you have such a strong opinion about things you refuse to analyze without blinders on, and that you refuse to provide information for?

:)

-Chris

**

B Szilárd

Leftist...

What does it mean, man?

Who has different opinion than you, that is leftist. Nice!!!!

Cheers :)

Here is again a new feature of the ordinary flu:

<https://www.news.com.au/world/breaking-news/kidney-injury-in-37-of-ny-virus-patients/news-story/b99a00a3d2c73c0cea67d60c95f5f803>

Yes, your right, it is again a fakeneews

My response:

Economics 101

<http://www.mediaaccess.hu/index.php?module=sourcepage&id=440&lang=1>

The Ant & The Termite (a pdf file explains the video)

<http://www.mediaaccess.hu/index.php?module=sourcepage&id=112&lang=1>

NY TIMES = New York Slimes

CNN = Communist News Network

MSNBC = MSLSD

You haven't once referred to one of my references with any knowledge or debt. I find that astounding. You want me to read or watch your skimpy set of biases, but some how you won't even comment one iota on some fundamental truths about anything, even a video in your own profession. Tkhe reasons why you don't I can only speculate, but they're more typical of a leftists argument.

-Chris